UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,565	04/20/2001	William McFarland	P 0269521 ATH-025(u)	1458
30547 7590 07/25/2007 BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			EXAMINER	
2099 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 320 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ODOM, CURTIS B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

$\mathcal{O}$
~Y
5

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,565	MCFARLAND, WILLIAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Curtis B. Odom	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2007.					
, <del></del>	action is non-final.					
, —						
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4,5,8,9,12,13,17,18,20,21,24,25 and 93-96 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>4,5,8,9,12,13,17,18,20,21,24 and 25</u> i	s/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>93-96</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, and 93-96 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 93-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Particularly, newly recited claim 93 includes the following limitations:
- "A method of transmitting in a wireless multi-carrier system, the method comprising: transmitting a first group of symbols using a first operating mode, the first operating mode including a first predetermined number of carriers and a first predetermined symbol rate; and transmitting a second group of symbols using a second operating mode, the second operating mode including a second predetermined number of

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

carriers and a second predetermined symbol rate, the second operating mode being different than the first operating mode, wherein the first and second groups of symbols form a packet and the first and second operating modes are provided in a header of the packet."

However, after reviewing the specification (see page 3, lines 24-26 and page 8, lines 13-18), it is the understanding of the Examiner that the first and second operating modes are switched on a packet-by-packet basis and not within the packet as recited in the above claim. Although the specification does disclose changing the symbol rate within a packet (see page 8, lines 19-26), the specification does not describe changing the number of carriers used within a packet as recited above. Furthermore, the specification does not provide sufficient description for providing a first and a second operating mode within a header of the packet. At most, the specification describes a header sent in a base mode, wherein the header includes one operating mode for the remainder of the packet (see page 8, lines 19-22), however, two operating modes are not provided in the header of the packet. Therefore, it is the understanding of the Examiner that the claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, and 25 are allowable over prior art references.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565

Art Unit: 2611

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Curtis Odom July 21, 2007